

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ADAM KEUP,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SARPY COUNTY, JEFF DAVIS, Sarpy
County Sheriff, in his individual and
official capacities; and JANE OR JOHN
DOE, Deputies 1-4, in their individual
capacities as employees of Sarpy County,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 8:21-CV-312

**THIRD AMENDED
FINAL PROGRESSION ORDER**

THIS MATTER is before the Court, on the parties' Agreed Email Motion to Modify Second Amended Final Progression Order. The email motion is granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the Court's previous Final Progression Orders remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, progression shall be amended as follows:

- 1) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the defendant(s):

July 15, 2023

- 2) The deadlines for complete expert disclosures¹ for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the plaintiff(s):

July 15, 2023

For the defendant(s):

August 15, 2023

- 3) The deposition deadline, including but not limited to depositions for oral testimony only under Rule 45, is **August 1, 2023**.
 - a. The maximum number of depositions that may be taken by the plaintiffs as a group and the defendants as a group is 8.
 - b. Depositions will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1).
- 4) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **August 15, 2023**.

- 5) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **September 1, 2023**.
- 6) The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- 7) All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

DATED this 6th day of July, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered “specially retained experts,” not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert’s treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.